1	Exeter Conservation Commission
2	May 14, 2024
3	Novak Room
4	10 Front Street
5	7:00 PM
6	Draft Minutes
7	
8	Call to Order
9	
10	1. Introduction of Members Present (by Roll Call)
11	
12	Present at tonight's meeting were by roll call, Chair Dave Short, Vice-Chair Connor Madison, Trevor
13	Mattera, Andrew Koff, Kyle Welch, Nick Campion, Keith Whitehouse, Alternate Michelle Crepeau,
14	Alternate Bill Campbell, and Select Board Representative Dave Chartrand.
15	
16	Staff Present: Kristen Murphy, Conservation and Sustainability Planner
17	
18	Mr. Short called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM and introduced the members.
19	
20	2. Public Comment
21	
22	There was no public comment outside of agenda items.
23	
24	Action Items
25	
26	1. Wetland and Shoreland Conditional Use Permit application for a proposed Vehicle Storage Area and
27	Accessory Storage at Tax Map 52, Lot 112.2 for Foss Motors.
28	NA. Charles de la la della Della Hardan Nation
29	Mr. Short read out loud the Public Hearing Notice.
30	Christian Christian Christian of Dools Associates proported the small action on helpfulfulfulfulfulfulfulfulfulfulfulfulfulf
31 32	Christian Smith of Beals Associates presented the application on behalf of Foss Motors. He indicated Brenden Walden was here on behalf of Gove Environmental. Mr. Smith described the location of the
33	proposed building and adjacent parking lot. He referenced the survey and wetland delineation. Mr.
34	Smith described small wetland pockets, shoreline protection setbacks and wetland buffers on the 6.24-
35	acre parcel. He noted there were two iterations with the Technical Review Committee (TRC).
36	Conventional asphalt is proposed with impervious pavement shown on the plan in the hatched area. He
37	indicated the connecting driveway and trees greater than 16" caliper shown on the plan. Mr. Smith
38	referenced the site walk this afternoon.
39	referenced the site walk this differmoon.
40	Mr. Smith described the 22,500 square foot (area) building proposed to store dry parts. The need for the
41	building is driven by the inability to get parts timely when they need them. He noted roof runoff would
42	be addressed with downspouts and gutters.
43	,

Mr. Smith described drainage in detail with bioretention and lateral drains 25' on center beneath.

Ms. Crepeau expressed concerns with impact to the wetland buffer.

Mr. Smith displayed the shoreland areas in green and orange on the map as the 300' in green, 150' in orange and temporary in purple.

Mr. Smith described restoration of the temporary impacts with loam and seed.

Mr. Campbell asked how wide the area would be compared to the existing Foss Motors area (the area shown in the green rectangle on the plans) and he noted they would have about half of the frontage Foss has now.

Mr. Campbell asked about the two paved wetlands in A1 and A2 and Mr. Smith responded they are connection to other wetland systems. Mr. Walden clarified that A1 and A2 are natural wetlands. Mr. Campbell asked if B6 and B4 were being filled. Mr. Koff noted the buffered impacts to A2. A1 is a retention pond when Foss was built.

Mr. Koff asked about rip rap and Mr. Smith showed the location.

Ms. Crepeau asked the number of parking spaces and Mr. Smith indicated the required landscaped islands were proposed but the parking lot would not be striped, spaces not designated as they were a display area.

Ms. Crepeau asked about snow removal and Mr. Smith noted Foss moves snow offsite if inundated.

Mr. Campbell asked where the water would go. Mr. Smith noted the impervious system is lined with geo-fabric and would go to manholes and a pipe. He noted they were not completely impervious. Mr. Campbell asked if eventually the water would go to Wheelright Creek and Mr. Smith indicated yes. Mr. Campbell asked the lifespan of the fabric and Mr. Smith indicated if not disturbed about 25-30 years. Mr. Koff asked if water was entering the reservoir and Mr. Smith indicated no. Mr. Campbell asked about climate change. Ms. Murphy noted the required multiplier has to be met. Mr. Smith noted the trench could not be located on the other side per the state. He noted no infiltration testing had been done yet.

Mr. Madison referenced areas B1-B3 and noted while they meet state jurisdiction, they are called swales and he has never seen that. B1 and B2 are described as manmade drainage ditches. B3 has no impact and B4 is the northside of the building. Mr. Smith noted preapplication with the state is not necessary under 10,000' of disturbance and so this would be a minor impact application. Mr. Madison expressed concerns with the removal of trees and establishing a natural wetland buffer.

Mr. Koff noted that during the conceptual hearing the design was not capturing infiltration on site and noted concerns with displacement of infiltration capacity from the site. He expressed concerns with

runoff from the parking lot during a heavy rainstorm reaching overflow elevation. Mr. Smith noted they were reducing volume and peak flow. The parking lot was reduced since conceptual.

88 89 90

91

92

87

Mr. Koff noted concerns about the larger size (from the conceptual) of the parking lot. The impact is concerning and the scope of wetland buffer impacts. He noted this is a sensitive location next to the town drinking water supply and felt it was not designed in a way that was sensitive to the site and wetland impact from the building.

93 94 95

Ms. Crepeau echoed the concerns about the parking lot.

96 97

Mr. Whitehouse stated that while he agreed with the balance of people doing what they want on their own land he had concerns with the adjacent water supply.

98 99 100

Ms. Murphy asked about meeting nitrogen requirements and Mr. Smith indicated the extended retention time.

101 102 103

104

105

Ms. Murphy indicated the design had not been reviewed by the town's engineer, Underwood Engineering (UEI). Mr. Campbell indicated he would like to wait to make a recommendation until the Commission has this report. Ms. Murphy noted the Commission could request that more information is provided.

106 107 108

109

110

111

112

113

Mr. Short reviewed the criteria for wetlands. #1 is permitted in the zone. #2 whether there is an alternate design that is feasible with less impact. Mr. Koff noted concerns with natural wetland, forest clearing and grading and believes the design could be more sensitive to the southern areas. Mr. Madison agreed. #3 impact/functions and values – Mr. Koff noted it would be helpful to have the UEI report to understand the underdrain system and drainage to Wheelwright Creek. Mr. Madison noted the impact to A2. Mr. Smith noted the scoring mechanism. Mr. Walden noted minimal impact. Mr. Koff did not agree due to the sensitivity of the site and felt it needed additional consideration.

114 115 116

Mr. Campbell asked about the stream to the west. Ms. Murphy indicated north of Foss Motors, which outlets to A1. Mr. Koff described the other car dealership, Hannaford, and renovated stream area which adds flow to this (while the applicant is not responsible for other property flow).

118 119

117

120 Mr. Koff noted concerns with monitoring the areas contributing to the runoff adequately and the size of 121 the drain being connected to. Mr. Smith noted the drain had plenty of capacity outside the 100-year 122 flood plain. Mr. Koff noted this is something UEI should review – the additional flow to the system. Mr. 123 Smith noted that there are not prime wetlands involved and Aot is not impacted in this case. #4 impact 124 detrimental to wetland buffer is being discussed. #5 public, health, safety and welfare, loss etc. - Mr. 125 Koff noted the area of sensitivity. Mr. Short continued reading the criteria out loud. #6 wetland buffers 126 elsewhere on the site – Mr. Smith indicated an inability to because of being surrounded by

- 127 development. #7 temporary disturbances – Mr. Smith described restoration. #8 permits from NHDES,
- 128 485-A:17, NH wetland, Army Corp – S 404 of Clean Water Act, etc. The answers were noted to be sparse
- 129 just the design impact. Mr. Koff indicated there were typically more explanations. Mr. Smith referenced
- 130 Gove Environmental's memo.

131

132 Mr. Chartrand indicated he felt it appropriate to wait for the design review from UEI. Mr. Short agreed

133 noting combined with the location next to the town's water source, having that report would be a big

134 factor in deciding. Mr. Koff expressed concerns that the applicant will be meeting with the Planning

135 Board on the 23rd and may take action without the Commission's review. A memo could be sent. Ms.

Murphy noted that if the Planning Board did not make a decision on the 23rd their next meeting is on the 136

13th of June and the Commission would have the opportunity to meet before then.

137 138 139

Mr. Mattera indicated the area is sensitive because of the reservoir. With hydrology and water quality

140 changes a concern and not having an engineering background, stripping so many trees. The 141

management plan (stormwater and impervious system) has not been discussed. He agreed waiting for

the report would be beneficial. Mr. Smith noted the applicant would have AoT (Alteration of Terrain)

and would be required to provide stormwater inspection and maintenance reports annually as well as

maintaining the impervious pavement to keep it functioning.

144 145 146

142

143

Mr. Short noted the Board has reached a consensus that it is beneficial to wait for more information

147 from UEI.

148 149

MOTION: Mr. Madison motioned to table until UEI is complete, before the Conservation Commission's

meeting on June 11th. Mr. Short seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion

151 passed 7-0-0.

152 153

150

2. Letters of support for Bank Stabilization along the Exeter River at River Run at Exeter – Aquatic Resource Mitigation Grant (Paige Libby, J&B and Tracy Degnan, RCCD)

154 155 156

157

158

Paige Libby and Tracy Degnan presented the bank stabilization plan for River Run at Exeter and showed

the Commission some stormwater plans to deal with drainage of the ponding areas. Ms. Degnan posted a plan showing an area near the clubhouse. She noted plans for rain barrels, swale and rain garden. She

noted the culvert would keep water off of the road and use of a larger bioretention system.

159 160 161

Ms. Degnan described the living shoreline proposal for dealing with bank erosion. She noted the April

162 20 storm caused a bank failure. Ms. Libby got an emergency authorization from NH DES for temporary

stabilization. DES would like to see the living shoreline concept. She discussed funding applications with

DES and Great Bay 20 30 and noted she would like to have letters of support.

164 165 166

163

Mr. Mattera noted habitat value should be discussed. Mr. Koff noted that they were using wood and

asked about the living shoreline concept. Ms. Murphy described restoration with natural vegetation.

167 168 169

MOTION: Mr. Madison motioned to authorize Mr. Short to issue letters of support. Mr. Mattera

seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

170 171 172

3. Letters of support future grant applications for Conservation of the Rugg Property

173

174 Mr. Chartrand provided an update on the title and boundary issues by reading a letter dated April 29th from Town Planner Dave Sharples. He noted the report provided by the Ruggs contradicts many prior surveys and the tax maps and town counsel was engaged. The town made an offer of resolution (which could not be specified per legal) on April 29th and has received no response from the Ruggs. They met with them and counsel last week and there was no mention of the offer.

178 179 180

181

182

175

176

177

Mr. Short noted that while this has always been contingent upon resolution of the boundary issues the Commission would still support the application under the same conditions. Ms. Murphy noted there are three specific grant applications, and it would be good to provide Mr. Short with blanket authorization concerning issuance of support letters for this project's grant applications.

183 184 185

MOTION: Mr. Koff motioned to approve the authorization for Mr. Short as proposed by Ms. Murphy. Mr. Mattera seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

186 187 188

4. Approve expenditure – Spring Tree program (\$253.80)

189 190

191

Ms. Murphy detailed the program with the students of Lincoln Street School. Each of the fourth-grade students are given a sapling to take home and plant. The expenditure request is for the purchase of saplings.

192 193 194

MOTION: Mr. Short motioned to approve the expenditure request of \$253.80 from the Conservation fund. Mr. Mattera seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

195 196

5. Committee Reports

197 198 199

a. Property Management

200 201

i. Raynes Farm

202 203

204

205

Ms. Murphy reported there was bird dog training on the property in the morning, and it is a non- commercial club activity which is allowed. Mr. Campbell asked if it would impact the farmer and Ms. Murphy didn't think so. She would like to follow up after the first cut due to nesting bird sensitivity.

206 207

b. Trails

208 209 210

Mr. Short reported being contacted by Sean who would like to use flat rock in the low areas and put together a plan for materials and labor.

211 212 213

Mr. Short noted the powerline restoration went well putting things back together.

214

c. Outreach Events

215 216 217

i. Citizen's Science Project – River Herring Migration Count

218	
219	Ms. Murphy indicated information is available on the town website for volunteers wanting to
220	participate in the herring count at Great Bridge to supplement NH Fish & Games count which
221	they are doing three times a day. Mr. Welch asked if ExeterTV might want to put something
222	together and Ms. Murphy indicated Bob reached out to her today.
223	
224	ii. SST Student Clean Up – 5/15 (rain date 5/17)
225	
226	Ms. Murphy reported the student clean up day will be two times, 7-9 AM and 10-11:30 AM on
227	the 15 th with a rain date of the 17 th .
228	
229	iii. Explore Exeter Walk – May 22 – 3 PM
230	
231	Ms. Murphy noted the next walk would be at Henderson Swasey Town Forest. Parking is
232	recommended at the Trestle lot or 3C1 since Watson Road has been posted no parking on stree
233	and tickets are being issued with a \$15 fine. She noted the last walk went well with about five
234	attendees.
235	
236	d. Other Committee Reports (River Study, Sustainability, Energy/CPAC, Tree, CC Roundtable)
237	
238	Mr. Mattera indicated he went to the River Advisory meeting and the final report was provided
239	concerning the Pickpocket Dam which includes public comments and emails. The Committee voted
240	4-0-2 to recommend dam removal to the Select Board.
241	
242	Ms. Murphy reported the Sustainability and Energy Committee met and is interested in the
243	proposal for a Styrofoam collection event. They will discuss feasibility and review the Waste
244	Management contract expiring in 2027.
245	
246	6. Approval of Minutes April 9, 2024 Meeting
247	
248	MOTION: Mr. Short motioned to approve the April 9, 2024 meeting minutes. Mr. Koff seconded the
249	motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.
250	
251	7. Correspondence
252	
253	There were no updates outside of agenda items.
254	
255	Other Business
256	No LANGUES Data Calculated Classical Constitution Date 11 - 5 (24/24)
257	Next Meeting; Date Scheduled 6/11/24, Submission Deadline 5/31/24
258	
259	

8. Adjournment
MOTION: Mr. Short motioned to adjourn the meeting at 8:55 PM. Mr. Koff seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.
Respectfully submitted,
Daniel Hoijer, Recording Secretary
Via Exeter TV, Zoom ID 874 3044 0587